## Case 1:21-cv-00744-NONE-SAB Document 23 Filed 11/18/21 Page 1 of 4 1 MICHAEL P. ROCHE (admitted pro hac vice) mroche@winston.com 2 BENJAMIN M. OSTRANDER (admitted pro hac vice) bostrander@winston.com 3 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive 4 Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 558-5600 5 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700 6 TRISTAN R. KIRK (BAR NO. 313262) 7 tkirk@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor 8 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750 9 10 Attorneys for Defendant SHC SERVICES, INC. 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 DEIRDRE DUNN, on behalf of herself Case No. 1:21-cy-00744-NONE-SAB 16 and others similarly situated, JOINT STIPULATION TO REMAND ACTION TO STATE COURT; Plaintiff, 17 [PROPOSED] ORDER 18 v. SHC SERVICES, INC., a Delaware 19 Complaint filed: March 30, 2021 Corporation; and DOES 1-20, inclusive. 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STIPULATION TO REMAND ACTION TO STATE COURT;

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 143, Plaintiff Deirdre Dunn ("Plaintiff") and
2	Defendant SHC Services, Inc. ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and
3	through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate to remand the above-entitled action to
4	the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Fresno as Deirdre
5	Dunn v. SHC Services, Inc., Case No. 21CECG00896, in light of the Parties'
6	agreement to settle this Action ("Stipulation"). In support of their Stipulation, the
7	Parties state:
8	1. Plaintiff originally filed her complaint on March 30, 2021 in the Fresno
9	County Superior Court entitled Deirdre Dunn v. SHC Services, Inc., Case
10	No. 21CECG00896.
11	2. Om May 6, 2021, Defendant removed the case to this Court (ECF No. 1) and
12	filed its answer to the complaint on May 13, 2021. (ECF No. 6.)
13	3. On May 17, 2021, Plaintiff filed a motion to remand the case back to state
14	court. (ECF No. 7.)
15	4. On May 28, 2021, Defendant filed its opposition to the motion to remand.
16	(ECF No. 15.)
17	5. On June 7, 2021, Plaintiff filed her reply in support of the motion to remand.
18	(ECF No. 16.)
19	6. On October 27, 2021, a hearing on the motion to remand was held where
20	both parties presented oral argument to the Honorable Magistrate Judge
21	Stanley A. Boone.
22	7. On November 4, 2021, Magistrate Judge Boone issued Findings and
23	Recommendations Recommending Granting Plaintiff's Remand Motion and
24	Remanding Action to State Court ("Findings and Recommendations"). (ECF
25	No. 21.)
26	8. Per the Findings and Recommendations, Defendant was permitted fourteen
27	(14) days to file and serve any objections it may have to the Findings and
28	Recommendations. (ECF No. 21.) Defendant's deadline to file and serve any

	Case 1:21-cv-00744-NONE-SAB Document 23 Filed 11/18/21 Page 3 of 4
1	such objections is therefore November 18, 2021.
2	9. On November 9, 2021, the Parties attended a private mediation before
3	experienced mediator Hon. Carl J. West (Ret.) and reached a settlement of
4	all claims in this Action. The Parties subsequently executed a memorandum
5	of understanding ("MOU") on November 12, 2021, and are in the process of
6	preparing a long-form stipulation of settlement.
7	10. Pursuant to the MOU, the Parties submit this Stipulation to remand this
8	Action to state court for the purposes of settlement only.
9	11. In the event the settlement does not become final for any reason, this
10	Stipulation will be void ab initio and Defendant will have the right to file
11	and serve objections to the Findings and Recommendations and to further
12	contest whether remand of this Action is appropriate through such
13	objections.
14	12. The Proposed Order Remanding Action to State Court is contained herein
15	below.
16	Dated: November 18, 2021 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
17	By: <u>/s/ <i>Tristan R. Kirk</i></u>
18	Michael P. Roche (admitted pro hac vice)
19	Benjamin M. Ostrander (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Tristan R. Kirk
20	Attorneys for Defendant
21	SHC SERVICES, INC.
22	D 4 1 N 1 10 2021 CHAROUDH AWEDM
23	Dated: November 18, 2021 SHAKOURI LAW FIRM
24	By: /s/ Ashkan Shakouri (as authorized on November
25	17, 2021) Ashkan Shakouri
26	Sharon W. Lin
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff DEIRDRE DUNN
28	
	JOINT STIPULATION TO REMAND ACTION TO STATE COURT;
•	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the joint stipulation to remand the above-captioned action to state court, and for good cause shown, the above-captioned action is remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Fresno as *Deirdre Dunn v. SHC Services, Inc.*, Case No. 21CECG00896, in light of the Parties' agreement to settle this action.

In the event the settlement does not become final for any reason, this stipulation and order will be void *ab initio* and defendant will have the right to file and serve objections to the findings and recommendations and to further contest whether remand of this action is appropriate through such objections. Any such objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date on which the parties agree that the settlement is no longer viable and will not be finalized such that the parties will resume litigation of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 18, 2021** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE